

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,474	/624,474 07/23/2003		Robert C. Rajewski	004-62	7444
20212	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMPSO			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
2121 CRYS	•	TAL PARK TWO IVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22202	3725		
				DATE MAILED: 12/14/200:	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,474	RAJEWSKI, ROBERT C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the string and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the specific to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/3/05 & 8/16/04.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA) in view of either deVries or Uno et al. APA is the apparatus discussed on page 1 of the specification. This includes the basic claimed apparatus except for the use of fluid used to suppress explosion potential. Both deVries and Uno et al solve this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the addition of fluid to the apparatus upstream of a shredder. In order to suppress explosion potential, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify APA by providing fluid upstream of the shredder, taught to be desirable by both deVries and Uno et al.

Claims 4-7,9-13,15,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA in view of either Garnier or Robertson. APA discloses the basic apparatus except for the use of a gate like mechanism to separate the material feed in. This could result in clogging of the shredder. Both Garnier and Robertson solve this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the use of a gate like mechanism to separate the feed in of material. In order to reduce clogging potential, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to modify APA by providing a gate like mechanism in the feed area, taught to be desirable by both Garnier and Robertson.

The exact design of the gate mechanism would then have been an obvious design choice only as it solves no stated problem.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA in view of either Garnier or Robertson as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of either deVries or Uno et al. See the first paragraph for the use of deVries and Uno et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725